SECRET

MAS-A-809
ACA

Chief, Foreign Division N
Attention: [redacted]
Chief of Station, Vienna

1 October 1951

Operational
b Father Superior Hugo Count MONTJOYE (S.J.)

Reference: MAS-A-809

1. Attached is a copy of an operational memorandum submitted by UHAGE covering the [redacted] - Father MONTJOYE meeting described in reference. The meeting caused quite a stir in the UHAGE empire. There are discrepancies which [redacted] will comment on below.

2. We believe that the excitement created and UHAGE's feeling that the Americans IS is sabotaging his efforts will be valuable in the appraisal of UHAGE. We repeat that we did not clear the one-shot visit by [redacted] with [redacted], but the correspondence we had seen to date (May 1951) indicated that Father MONTJOYE (SJ) was to be dropped following thorough debriefing on his French IS connections. (Incidentally, this report on the French IS has never been turned in.)

3. The note of introduction from UHAGE to [redacted] give Father MONTJOYE's correct title as:

Pater Superior Hugo Graf MONTJOYE, S.J.
Spargasse 21 a, Graz

[redacted]
ABSTRACT X
INDEX
DATE 10 Oct 1951

2 - Wash w/incls.
2 - Vien
2 - Rels

CS COPY

35-179-18

SECRET

CARDED

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2006

SECRET

[redacted] Comments:

The following discrepancies in order of appearance were noted in the attached USAEC report on the [redacted] MONTJOYE meeting:

a. No [redacted] or KINSEHER was mentioned in connection with Hungarian operations run by USAEC. When MONTJOYE stated that USAEC had several good sources in Graz, [redacted] commented that he had heard of a certain (fnu) KINSEHER, a retired police official, who he believed worked for USAEC in Graz and furnished information on the Graz local picture.

b. MONTJOYE and not [redacted] mentioned USAEC's American-born wife and MONTJOYE explained that he had met USAEC through his wife.

c. [redacted] did not say that the results of USAEC's Hungarian operations were very poor. He only stated that the U.S. was still very much interested in Hungarian affairs and that USAEC alone could not be expected to supply all the information needed, especially as far as CE was concerned.

d. [redacted] did not attempt to take over MONTJOYE "directly" or to recruit him in any way. [redacted] merely said that any comments MONTJOYE might have on Hungarians in Graz would be appreciated. [redacted] certainly did not offer any funds to MONTJOYE, neither in dollars nor in any other currency, but merely mentioned that he was known to have worked on the defection of Soviet officers in 1947.

1 [redacted] did not ask Montjoye to attempt new defections of Soviet officers,

L

SECRET